

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,739	05/10/2004	David A. Giardino	CP-5144US2 9744	
7590 10/18/2005		•	EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS			CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C	
3 Lear Jet Lane	e, Suite 201			
Latham, NY 12110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Touth
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Author Occurre	10/772,739	GIARDINO, DAVID A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ocrrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 c</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 56-64 and 67 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 62-64 and 67 is/are  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 56-61 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/10/2004 is/are: a)☒  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the E	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the control of t	ation No ived in this National Stage
AMaabaaaa44a)		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	arv (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by W.G. Mitchell et al. (US 2,727,598).

With regard to claim 56, Mitchell et al. discloses a method of using a modular control apparatus (12) comprising the steps of:

Providing a modular control apparatus (12), aligning, attaching and adjusting the output the modular control apparatus (see fig. 1) to a tool (11), and applying the tool to a workpiece (18, 19) as shown in Figure 1 wherein the apparatus is configured to shut off air flow to a tool after a selected time that torque is being applied by the tool (col. 5, lines 48-51, 55-59, 65-67).

With regard to claim 57, Mitchell et al. shows the modular control is attached and detached from the tool via screws 12a. Mitchell et al. further shows that the modular control apparatus can be aligned, attached, adjusted to a second tool and applied to the second tool to a workpiece.

With regard to claims 58 and 59, Mitchell et al. shows a step of providing fluid and/or air to the modular control apparatus through the fitting (21).

With regard to claim 60, Mitchell et al. shows a method of using a pneumatic modular control apparatus comprising the steps of: attaching a pneumatic modular control apparatus (12)

to a pneumatic tool (11) (see fig. 1) wherein the apparatus is configured to shut off air flow to a motor of tool in response to a selected time that torque is being applied by the tool (col. 5, lines 48-51, 55-59, 65-67); connecting a compressed-air supply channel (23) to an input port (23), channeling a compressed-air discharge from a discharge port to the tool's motor inlet, adjusting the control apparatus and applying the tool to the workpiece (18, 19) as shown in Figure 1.

With regard to claim 61, Mitchell et al. shows attaching a workpiece (18, 19) adapter (16 fig. 1) to at least drive shaft (14) of the motor of the tool.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect claim 56, applicant argues that Mitchell et al. does not teach or suggest a modular control apparatus configured to shut off air flow to a tool after a selected time that torque is being applied by the tool.

The Examiner disagrees with the applicant because Mitchell et al. does teach or suggest a modular control apparatus configured to shut off air flow to a tool after a selected time that torque is being applied by the tool.

The Examiner respectfully directs applicant to column 5, lines 48-51, 55-59, 65-67 which discussed that air flow is shut off to the tool after a selected time that torque is being applied by the tool. The selected time is zero at which torque is applied to the tool which meets the claimed limitation.

Art Unit: 3721

With respect claim 60, applicant argues that Mitchell et al. does not teach or suggest a modular control apparatus configured to shut off air flow to a tool in response to a selected time that torque is being applied by the tool.

Again, the Examiner disagrees with the applicant. See response to similar argument above.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,739

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NC

Oct. 5, 2005.

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700 Page 5